

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

IN RE: VEHICLE TRACKING AND  
SECURITY SYSTEM ('844) PATENT  
LITIGATION

MDL NO. 11-2249

---

PJC LOGISTICS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANDERSON TRUCKING SERVICE,  
INC.; *et al.*

Defendants.

---

Civil Action No. 0:11-cv-00675-DWF-SER

**PLAINTIFF PJC LOGISTICS, LLC'S  
REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIMS  
OF DEFENDANT WERNER  
ENTERPRISES, INC.**

Plaintiff PJC Logistics, LLC ("PJC"), by and through its counsel, hereby submits this Reply in response to the Defendant Werner Enterprises, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims to PJC Logistics, LLC's Complaint ("Werner").

**COUNTERCLAIMS**

1. Werner is a corporation organized under the laws of Nebraska with its principal place of business at 14507 Frontier Road, Omaha, NE 68138.

**ANSWER:** Admitted.

2. PJC is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Texas with its principal place of business at 777 Enterprise Drive, Hewitt, Texas 76643.

**ANSWER:** Admitted.

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 1367(a), 2201, and 2202, and 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, *et seq.*

**ANSWER:** PJC admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction for this action only.

4. PJC has submitted to the personal jurisdiction of this Court.

**ANSWER:** PJC admits that PJC is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court for this action only.

5. Venue is proper because PJC brought this action and consented to venue.

**ANSWER:** PJC admits that venue is proper for this action only.

**COUNTERCLAIM ONE**  
**DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT**

6. Werner repeats and realleges paragraphs 1–5 above as if fully set forth herein.

**ANSWER:** PJC incorporates by references its responses to paragraphs 1 through 5 as if fully set forth herein.

7. PJC purports to be the assignee and lawful owner of all right, title and interest in and to the '844 Patent, entitled "Vehicle Tracking and Security System", which issued on June 29, 1993.

**ANSWER:** Admitted.

8. PJC has sued Werner in the present action, alleging infringement of the '844 Patent. Thus, an immediate, real and justiciable controversy exists between Werner and PJC with respect to the alleged infringement of the '844 Patent.

**ANSWER:** Admitted.

9. The only products used by Werner that remain accused of infringement in this case were manufactured or sold by StarTrak Systems, LLC or StarTrak Information Technologies, LLC.

**ANSWER:** PJC is without knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore deny them.

10. No claim of the '844 Patent can validly be construed to cover any product manufactured or sold by StarTrak Systems, LLC or StarTrak Information Technologies, LLC.

**ANSWER:** PJC is without knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore deny them.

11. Werner requests declaratory judgment that Werner's use of the electronic fleet management systems that remain accused of infringement in this case does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any claim of the '844 Patent.

**ANSWER:** PJC denies that Werner is entitled to any such judgment.

**COUNTERCLAIM TWO**  
**DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY**

12. Werner repeats and realleges paragraphs 1–5 above as if fully set forth herein.

**ANSWER:** PJC incorporates by references its responses to paragraphs 1 through 5 as if fully set forth herein.

13. An immediate, real and justiciable controversy exists between Werner and PJC with respect to the invalidity of the '844 Patent.

**ANSWER:** Admitted.

14. The '844 Patent is invalid for failure to comply with one or more provisions of Title 35, United States Code, including but not limited to 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103, 112 and 305.

**ANSWER:** Denied.

15. Werner requests declaratory judgment that the '844 Patent is invalid.

**ANSWER:** PJC denies that Werner is entitled to any such judgment.

PJC denies that Werner is entitled to any of the relief sought against PJC in its Prayer for Relief and Counterclaims. In addition, to the extent necessary, PJC generally denies any allegation in the counterclaims not specifically admitted above, and PJC re-alleges infringement,

validity, enforceability, and damages, and denies any allegations in the counterclaims adverse to the same.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, in addition to the relief requested in its complaint, Plaintiff PJC respectfully prays that this Court:

- A. Dismiss Werner's Counterclaims in their entirety with prejudice with respect to PJC, with Werner taking nothing thereby;
- B. Deny all of Werner's Counterclaims against PJC;
- C. Award PJC its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in defending against these Counterclaims; and
- D. Award PJC any and all further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 16, 2011

/s/ Steven R. Daniels  
Steven R. Daniels  
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
Texas State Bar No. 24025318  
Farney Daniels LLP  
800 S. Austin Ave., Suite 200  
Georgetown, TX 78626  
Telephone: (512) 582-2828  
Facsimile: (512) 582-2829  
E-mail: sdaniels@farneydaniels.com

**Attorneys for Plaintiff  
PJC Logistics, LLC**